Supervision & Evaluation 2008-2009



Creating a Learning
Organization

Table of Contents

Introduction/ Philosophy	1
Team Members	2
-Planning Dates	
-Presentations	
-Change Process	
Description of the Plan Components	3
Traditional/ Clinical	5
Self Directed	7
Peer Collaboration	10
Intensive Supervision	14
CASD Observation Form	18
PDE 427 Instructional I to Instructional II	19
CASD Evaluation Form	23
APPENDIX A – Timeline Differentiated Supervision Plan	25
APPENDIX B – Supervisory Selection Form	26

Vision

Improve teaching & learning in the classroom, through the use of differentiated supervision and evaluation, with action plans and focused feedback.

Introduction

Professional: Pertaining to a profession; engaged in a profession. A member of any profession, but

more often applied, in opposition to amateur.

Practice: Habitual or customary performance; a habit or custom; the action or process of

performing or doing something: repeated performance or systematic exercise for the

purpose of acquiring skill or proficiency.

As education evolves, so does the professional practice of teaching and learning. The continuum of teaching skills and stages of development are critical components to any supervision and evaluation plan. The motivation of teacher performance is directly connected to success in the classroom. This supervision and evaluation plan is a step in the direction of professional learning communities in practice.

It is the intent of the Chambersburg Area School District Differentiated Supervision Plan to enhance and extend our previous approaches in both instructional improvement areas for students and professional growth for staff. Furthermore, the plan contained herein is intertwined with our staff development initiatives so that supervision and professional growth opportunities can be viewed and understood as directly linked together. After all, appropriately designed professional growth experiences should lead to enhanced student learning.

Supervision & Evaluation Committee Members:

Chris Bigger Director of Curriculum & Instruction

Janilyn Elias Director of Pupil Services

Cynthia Henry Regional Principal (Hamilton Heights)

Billy Hodge Human Resources Director
Patty Jacobs Assistant Principal (CASHS)

Tom Knepper K-12 Math Supervisor

Deb Luffy Assistant Principal (CASHS)

Angela Pollock Assistant Principal (Faust Jr. High)

Dave Snyder Association Representative Richard Snyder Assistant Principal (CAMS)

(Assistance from Dr. Mary Beth Bianco)

Work Dates:

November 11, 2007, December 6, 2007, January 12, 2008, February 25, 2008,

April 21, 2008, April 29, 2008

Presentations:

Association Executive Council, Administrators, Teachers

Sub-Committees: (Evaluation Document Development)

CASHS Deborah Luffy FAUST Angela Pollock

(Meeting Dates: March 18, 2008, March 25, 2008, March 27, 2008, April 2, 2008) (Sarah Herbert, Diane McCallum, Sally Musser, Shelby Heagy, Connie Coldsmith)

CAMS Richard Snyder

(Meeting Date: April 28, 2008)

(Donna Brooks, Cathleen Dunkleberger, Kelly Soffe, Michelle Mohler, Matt Zoeller)

ELEMENTARY Cynthia Henry

(Meeting Dates: April 2, 2008, April 16, 2008)

(Michael Cooper, Christina Happel, Michael Onomastico, Tangela Shives, Greg Powanda, Doug Shatzer,

Michelle Black, Elizabeth Francisco, Audra Bock, Lindsay Keen, Eva McKeown-Miley)

CTC Thomas Knepper CAEA Dave Snyder

Principles of Learning

The philosophy of teaching and learning builds from the 13 principles listed below:

Principle 1: Effort Produces Achievement Principle 8: Clear Expectations and Continuous

Feedback Activate Learning Principle 2: Learning Is About Making Connections

Principle 9: Good Teaching Builds on Students' Principle 3: We Learn With and Through Others Strengths and Respects Individuals' Differences

Principle 4: Learning Takes Time Principle 10: Good Teaching Involves Modeling

What Students Should Learn

Principle 11: The Curriculum Should Focus on

Principle 6: The Teacher Matters Powerful Knowledge

Principle 5: Motivation Matters

Principle 7: Focused Teaching Promotes Accelerated Principle 12: All Students Should Experience a Learning

'Thinking Curriculum'

Principle 13: The Best Results Come From Having

An Aligned Instructional System

The connection of these principles adapted from Peter Hill to the differentiated supervision plan builds the foundation for life-long learning. Just as we believe each student learns differently, so too, do our faculty. In keeping with the concept of stages in the professional career cycle, we offer 4 modes of differentiated supervision, which include: Traditional/Clinical, Self-Directed, Peer Collaboration, and Intensive Supervision

A brief description of each model included below:

Traditional/Clinical: Traditional method of supervision at Chambersburg

Self-Directed: Explore in depth new ideas or interests. Portfolio/Action Plan/Presentation

Peer Collaboration: Pair or small group of colleagues join together to observe each other's work

Intensive Supervision: Provide support for a staff member who receives an unsatisfactory rating or

an identified deficiency

GENERIC TEACHING SKILLS AND STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT

- Advanced Novice

Management

- Advance Planning
- Responsibility for Learning
- Intuitive but Analytical

Assessment Skills

Materials use

Basic planning

Teaching to Curriculum

- Personalization of Students' Needs
- Instructional Decisions
- Curricular and Instructional Linkage

Decisions

Automaticity of

Routines

Local rules and

procedures

Student control

Critical Thinking

Learning Climate

Lesson sequence

Teaching to objective

Traditional/Clinical

Employee	Elements	Observations	Employee/Supervisor Conference/Review	Documents (Additional documents may be included)
As determined appropriate by the supervisor Non-tenured and new to district	Objectives set by employee and supervisor and aligned with district and/or building goals or initiatives *Created during the pre-conference	Minimum of 2 observations per evaluation of tenured staff (2/year) Minimum of 4 observations per evaluation of non- tenured staff	Pre-Conference and Post-Conference required for each observation * Observations will occur within 7 days after a pre-conference * Post conference must occur within 5 days after the observation (School days)	* Differentiated Evaluation Selection Form * Observation Form * Sources of Evidence * Non-tenured: PDE Approved Evaluation Form * Tenured: PDE Approved Evaluation Form

Procedures and Format

- Professional staff participating in Traditional/Clinical will be rated, in accordance with the <u>Pennsylvania School Code</u>, in the following areas:
 - o Professionalism
 - Preparation and Planning
 - Instructional Delivery
 - Classroom Environment
- A pre-conference will be scheduled prior to the formal observation using some of the suggested pre-conference questions and/or the pre-conference form.
- The observation form will be used by an observer to summarize the formal observation. A follow-up post-conference will be included with each formal observation. The conference will focus on strengths and weaknesses and suggestions for improvement and will take place within five school days of the observation.
- Teachers will have the right to attach a written statement to the observation form. The signing of the form by the teacher does not necessarily imply consent.
- Evaluations using the CASD form and an exit conference must happen at the mid-year and end of the year for non-tenured and end of the year for tenured staff. The evaluation document will be used as the source of conversation during the next conference.

Suggested Pre-Observation Questions

- 1. What will you be teaching in this lesson?
- 2. What prior activities led up to this lesson?
- 3. What do you expect your students to learn by the end of this lesson?
- 4. What activities/strategies will you and your students be using?
- 5. How will you differentiate the lesson to meet the needs of all learners?
- 6. How will you know if your lesson is successful?
- 7. Is there a specific area/standard on which you would like the observer to focus?
- 8. Will any of the concepts be challenging? How will you face those challenges?
- 9. Do you take a scholarly approach to your teaching? Can you give me some examples?
- 10. What do you perceive your strengths as a teacher to be? What would your students perceive?
- 11. What are you trying to improve about your teaching?
- 12. How does this lesson tie into your planning conference form goals?
- 13. How do you communicate the purpose of today's lesson to the students?

Suggested Post Observation Questions

- 1. What questioning techniques did you use to promote learning?
- 2. How did/would you modify this lesson for the next class?
- 3. Was your information and directions clear and easy for the students to comprehend? How do you know?
- 4. Were the objectives met within the set time frame?
- 5. How have you created a positive learning climate?
- 6. How did you connect the learning in today's lesson with student interests and experiences?
- 7. What aspects of your instruction were most effective in helping students learn? What evidence supports your conclusion?
- 8. In what ways did the students demonstrate that they had met the goals you had set for them?
- 9. In what ways did the use of technology add to the lesson?
- 10. How did you assess student learning throughout the lesson? Was the lesson successful?
- 11. What type of student support did you give during the lesson?
- 12. Did you meet your learning objective?
- 13. How do you feel your classroom management was for this lesson?

^{*} Any combination of questions may be used in addition to others. Observers and professional staff do not need to discuss each question .

Self-Directed Project

Employee	Elements	Observations	Employee/Supervisor Conference/Review	Documents (Additional documents may be included)
Tenured employees with satisfactory	Objectives set by employee and	Informal throughout the	Minimum of 3 per year	* Differentiated Evaluation
evaluations in the previous year	supervisor and aligned with district and/or	year.	* Initial review	Selection Form
	building goals or initiatives		* Mid-year review	* Self-Directed Project Plan
			* Project summary and/or	3
	Presentation of self- directed study/project or summary to a group of peers and/or		presentation	* Self-Directed Project Summary of Results
	supervisors as selected by the teacher			* PDE Approved Evaluation Form
	Act 48 Hours			

Procedures and Format

- Professional staff participating in Self-Directed will be rated, in accordance with the <u>Pennsylvania School Code</u>, in the following areas:
 - o Professionalism
 - Instructional Delivery
 - Preparation and Planning
 - Classroom Environment
- Self-Directed Project shall be available to all tenured employees with satisfactory evaluations in the previous year.
- Tenured employees must notify their principal and immediate supervisor in writing of their desire to
 participate in Self-Directed Professional Development no later than the second week of school of the year in
 which they would like to do the Self-Directed Professional Development by submitting the Self-Directed
 Professional Development Form for Supervisory Proposal/Agreement.
- The Self-Directed Professional Development Form for Supervisory Proposal/Agreement must be approved by the building principal(s) and/or an appropriate central office designee.
- This supervisory/evaluation mode may be used in consecutive years (maximum of three consecutive years).
- Goals and activities may be created for more than one year.
- Administrative/Supervisory staff is expected to do informal observations of staff who are involved in Self-Directed Professional Development and may, at any time, suspend the Self-Directed Professional Development mode and implement alternate supervision and evaluation path.
- The immediate supervisor and the building principal shall review the proposal and, if the proposal is deemed appropriate, sign and return the form to the professional staff member. The supervisor and the principal shall retain a copy for their records.
- A copy of the self-directed summary of results form shall be attached to the professional employees CASD Evaluation Rating Form at the end of the year.

Chambersburg Area School District SELF-DIRECTED PROJECT PLAN

EMPL	OYEE'S NAME:	POS	ITION:	·
STAF	F MEMBER:	DAT	TE:	
TOPIC	C OF PROJECT:	(must be related to building and district goals)		
1.	Project descript	ion:		
2.	Project purpose How will this pr	oject affect you, your students, the school/district?		
3.	Project time fran	me:		
4.	What resources	will be utilized? What assistance/resources will you be reque	esting?	
5.	Method of asses	sment: (Data Driven)		
			SUPERVISOR'S INITIALS	EMPLOYEE INITIALS
PLAN I	REVIEW MEETING	CONDUCTED ON (DATE):		
MID-Y	EAR REVIEW MEE	TING CONDUCTED ON (DATE):		
	R MEETINGS:			

^{*}Attach additional pages if necessary

^{*}Submit copies of your plan to your immediate supervisor and building principal

Chambersburg Area School District SELF-DIRECTED PROJECT PLAN – SUMMARY OF RESULTS

EMPLOYEE'S NAME:		POSITION:	
STAFF MEMBER:		DATE:	
TOPIC OF PROJECT:			
		Its of the assessment, the effect on you, wed from your work on this activity over	
END OF THE YEAR MEETING	G/PRESENTATION CONDUCTED	O ON (DATE):	_
SUPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:			
Circle one: Continue Project	Select New Project/Mode	Recommend project for Professional D other staff members	evelopment to
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE:		DATE:	
EMPLOYEES SIGNATURE:		DATE:	

^{*}Attach additional pages if necessary
*Attach this form only to the end of the year evaluation document and submit to the Assistant Superintendent

Peer Collaboration

Employee	Elements	Observations	Employee/Supervisor Conference/Review	Documents (Additional documents may be included)
Tenured employees with satisfactory evaluations in the	Objectives set by employee and supervisor and	Informal throughout the year.	Minimum of 3 per year * Initial review (Group)	* Differentiated Evaluation Selection Form
previous year	aligned with district and/or building goals or initiatives Act 48 Hours		* Mid-year review (Individual or Group) * Project summary	* Peer Collaboration Proposal/Log
	rice to mound		(Individual)	* Peer Collaboration Summary of Results
				* PDE Approved Evaluation Form

Procedures and Format

- Professional staff participating in Peer Collaboration will be rated, in accordance with the <u>Pennsylvania School Code</u>, in the following areas:
 - Professionalism
 - Preparation and Planning
 - Instructional Delivery
 - Classroom Environment
- Peer Collaboration shall be available to all tenured employees.
- Tenured employees must notify their principal and immediate supervisor in writing of their desire to
 participate in Peer Collaboration no later than the end of the second week of school of the year in which they
 would like to do the Peer Collaboration by submitting the Peer Collaboration form for Supervisory Proposal
 Agreement.
- Peer Collaboration groups and plan shall be organized by the tenured participants and must be approved by the building principal(s) and/or the appropriate central office designee.
- This supervisory/evaluation mode may be used in consecutive years (maximum of three years).
- Goals and activities may be created for more than one year.
- Participants may include non-instructional personnel, administrators, parents, and students at the determination of the Peer Collaboration team.
- Administrative/Supervisory staff are expected to do informal observations of staff who are involved in the Peer Collaboration mode and may at any time suspend the peer Collaboration mode for the group and/or any individual in the group and implement alternate supervision and evaluation path.
- Individuals may opt out from the Collaborative Group.

Procedures for Rating

- 1. The members of the Peer Collaboration team shall complete and submit the proposed form for Supervision Proposal/Agreement to their immediate supervisor or building principal. All participants must sign this form. (*Page 12*)
- 2. The immediate supervisor and the building principal shall review the proposal and, if the proposal is deemed to be appropriate, sign and return the form to each member of the Peer Collaboration team, the supervisor and the principal shall retain a copy for their records.
- 3. The Peer Collaboration team shall submit progress reports in writing to the principal and the supervisor prior to the mid-year review and end of year review.
- 4. The administrator and the supervisor shall acknowledge receipt in writing/email.
- 5. Upon completion of the agreed upon objectives, activities, and checkpoints the administrative/supervisor shall meet with each member of the Peer Collaboration team and complete the Chambersburg Area School District Employee Rating Form.
- 6. A copy of the Peer Collaboration Form Summary of Results shall be attached to the professional employees CASD Rating Form and submitted to the Assistant Superintendent. (*Page 13*)

Chambersburg Area School District PEER COLLABORATION

MEMBERS OF THE COLLABORATIVE GROUPS (Minimum of 2 – Maximum of 4)

	(Teams above 4 need additional justification for approval)
EMPLOYEE'S NAME	POSITION —
EMPLOYEE'S NAME	POSITION —
EMPLOYEE'S NAME	POSITION —
EMPLOYEE'S NAME	
EMPLOTEE S NAME	POSITION —
Group Project Purpose: (How will this effect you, your students, the sc	chool/district?)
Briefly describe the nature of the materials to be discussed and your exnon-instructional personnel, administration, parent, student, if appropri	
Method of Assessment: (Data Driven)	
Materials/Research Selected (including title and author)	
Planning Meeting Held on:	
Supervisors Comments:	
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE:	DATE:
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE:	
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE:	
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE:	DATE:

LOG OF COLLABORATIVE DISCUSSION MEETINGS:

(For each meeting, log the date, times, discussion topics, and a brief summary of the discussion. Additional pages may be added.)

Chambersburg Area School District PEER COLLABORATION

Summary of Results

EMPLOYEE'S NAME: **Each member must submit their individual reflections	POSITION:
<u>Each member</u> musi suomii ineir inaiviauai rejiections	
Briefly describe the results of your participation in this Peer Collaborour professional position? If you will not, explain further. What a school/district? What is your overall analysis of the benefits derived	ffect has this process had on you, your students, and the
ND OF THE YEAR MEETING CONDUCTED ON (DATE): _	
UPERVISOR'S COMMENTS:	
Circle one: Continue Project Select New Project/Mode	Recommend project for Professional Development other staff members
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE:	DATE:
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE:	

^{*}Attach this form to the end of the year evaluation document and submit to the Assistant Superintendent.

Evaluation Procedures For Intensive Supervision

- Professional staff participating in Intensive Supervision will be rated, in accordance with the <u>Pennsylvania School Code</u>, in the following areas:
 - o Professionalism
 - Preparation and Planning
 - o Instructional Delivery
 - Classroom Environment
- Intensive Supervision is a process designed to address and remediate professional staff performances that are deemed Deficient or Unsatisfactory. This may occur at anytime during the work year.
- Any professional employee whose rating is Unsatisfactory shall be required to use this mode for a minimum of one semester following the Unsatisfactory rating.
- When a professional employee receives an Unsatisfactory rating, the provision of the Public School Code shall apply.
- As a result of documented Deficiencies or Unsatisfactory rating the evaluator, in conjunction with the professional staff member, shall establish job targets to monitor and aid improvement. The function of the job target setting procedures is to bring about agreement between the evaluator and the employee concerning the specific performance objectives, which must be accomplished, by the professional employee. Although consensus is desirable, the evaluator may unilaterally set job targets for the professional employee who has received a Deficient or Unsatisfactory rating.
- Required supervisory activities:
 - Team member(s) and employee create the plan (ie: Administration, District Office, Teacher, Association Representative)
 - Team member(s) and employee plan review
 - o Multiple supervisory observations
 - o Planned regular team meetings scheduled ahead of time
 - Verification of professional development opportunities

Chambersburg Area School District INTENSIVE SUPERVISION PLAN

The purpose of this plan is to improve employee performance.

EMPLOYEE NAME:	START DATE:	TE: COMPLETION DATE:	NTE:
The following goals are to be developed with input from both the employee and the supervisor, with final approval by the supervisor. Additional pages may be added as necessary.	put from both the employee and the su	upervisor, with final approval by the supervisor.	
Specific Area(s) of Weaknesses documented by:	y:		
Specific Area(s) of Weakensses discussed on:			
	(date)		
GOALS	STRATEGIES	RESOURCES	TIMELINE
PLAN IMPLEMENTATION:			
Building Principal Signature:		Date:	
Supervisor Signature/Approval:	Date:	Employee Signature:	Date:
PLAN COMPLETION: Intensive Sup-	Intensive Supervision Plan Review Meeting Date(s):		
Supervisor's Signature: Satisfactorily Completed: Employee will receive a satisfactory rating	Unsat	Unsatisfactorily Completed: Employee will receive an unsatisfactory rating and may continue with an Intensive Supervision Plan.	we with an Intensive Supervision Plan.
Employee Signature:	Date:		
PLAN CONTINUANCE: Meet	Meeting Date:		

Chambersburg Area School District

Intensive Supervision Plan

Notice of Intensive Supervision

To:	
	(Professional Employee)
From:	
	(Principal or Supervisor)
CC:	
	(Superintendent of Schools)
	(Assistant Superintendent)
	(HR Director)
	(Director of Curriculum & Instruction)
Date:	
Date.	

Please be advised that you have been placed in the Intensive Supervision Plan of the Differentiated Supervision Staff Development Plan. It is important that we meet and develop an Intensive Supervision Plan. Failure to meet the requirements of the Intensive Supervision Plan may cause you to receive an unsatisfactory rating. Please schedule a meeting with me within seven school days. You may wish to notify your building representative and/or the CAEA President for the purpose of representation at the upcoming meeting.

Chambersburg Area School District

Intensive Supervision Plan

Notice of Exit from Intensive Supervision Plan

To:		
	(Professional Employee)	
From:		
	(Principal or Supervisor)	
CC:		
	(Superintendent of Schools)	
	(Assistant Superintendent)	
	(Assistant Superintendent)	
	(HR Director)	
	(Director of Curriculum & Instruction)	
Date:		
	dvised that you have successfully met the recommendations and time lines as outlined on the	
Intensive Su	upervision Plan developed on: and completed on:	
	(Date of Acknowledgement of ISP)	

You are now assigned to the Traditional/Clinical Supervision Mode for a period of one year.

Teacher: Building:

Chambersburg Area School District Observation Record

Grade Subject: Date:

Domain 1: Planning & Preparation Component level Evidence 1a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content & Pedagogy	Domain 2: Classroom Environment Component Level Evidence 2a. Creating an Environment of Respect & Rapport	Domain 3: Instruction Component Level Evidence 3a. Communicating Clearly & Accurately
1b. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students	2b. Establishing a Culture for Learning	3b. Using Questioning & Discussion Skills
1c. Selecting Instructional Goals	2c. Managing Classroom Procedures	3c. Engaging Student Learning
1d. Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources	2d. Managing Student Behavior	3d. Providing Feedback to Students
1e. Designing Coherent Instruction	2e. Organize Physical Space	3e. Demonstrating Flexibility & Responsiveness
1f. Assessing Student Learning	Questions for Teacher	Overall Comments
Teacher's Signature: Observer's Signature:	Date:	

INSTRUCTIONAL I TO INSTRUCTIONAL II ASSESSMENT FORM

Applicant's Last Name	First	Middle	Positions(s) of Employee			
District/IU	School	Evaluator	Interview/Conference Date			
Directions: This form is used <u>after having reviewed 6 satisfactory semi-annual employee evaluations</u> of the teacher's performance. All categories in this form must be assessed as well as all sources of evidence provided by the teacher. The evaluator should bear in mind the aspects of teaching for each category and refer to the rubric language. If applicable, record commendations. Finally, assign an overall assessment, sign the form and gain Superintendent's review and signature. This form MUST BE included as part of the Instructional II application submitted to the Pa. Dept. of Education.						
Knowledge of Content and Pedago	ontent to be learned, their knowledge By, Knowledge of Pennsylvania Aca	ent and pedagogy skills in planning a e of students and their instructional of demic Standards, Knowledge of Stud nowledge of Resources, Materials an	context. Category I reviews:			
Teacher's performance demonstrates	•					
o In-depth and thorough knowled						
o In-depth and thorough knowled	ge of Pennsylvania's Academic Standa	ardo				
o In-depth and thorough knowled	ge of students and how to use this known	wiedge to direct and guide instruction				
 Clear and appropriate instruction 	nal goals that reflect content standards	and high expectations for students	·			
O Thorough awareness of resource	es, materials, and technology available	through the school or district or profes	sional organizations			
Appropriate and conferent instru	Triff and solvent mode design in which plans for all elements are completely aligned with the instructional goals. I am a					
sequence, and include adaptations for individual student needs						
o Perceptive reflection on teaching	g and learning and use of such in futur	e instruction planning	i			
o Appropriate and clear assessmen	ts of student learning completely align	ed to the instructional goals, and adapt	ed as required for student needs.			
☐ Lesson/Unit Plans	apply and include dates, types/titles an See Attachment 427 A	d number) Teacher Conferences/Intervie	ws See Attachment 427 A			
Resources/Material/Techno	ology See Attachment 427 A	☐ Classroom Observations	See Attachment 427 A			
☐ Assessment Materials	See Attachment 427 A	☐ Teacher Resource Documents	i i			
☐ Information About Student	s See Attachment 427 A	Other				
Assessment of Category I Factor		- One	See Attachment 427 A			
.	(

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	DEPARTMENT OF I	EDUCATI	ON 3	33 Market St., Ha	rrisburg, PA 17126-033
				,	g, 171 17120-033.
Category II: Classroom Environment - students feel safe, valued, and respected	-Teachers establish and r	naintain a	purposeful and equi	itable environment	for learning, in which
Teacher Interaction with Students, Esta	blishment of a Learning I	Environme	nt, Student Interact	ion.	Category II reviews:
Teacher's performance demonstrates:					
 High and clear expectations for studer quality student work 			namic learning envir	onment, with value	placed on high
o Significant attention to equitable learn	ing opportunities for stude	ents			
o Appropriate and highly respectful inte	ractions between teacher a	nd students	and among students		
o Highly effective classroom routines at	nd procedures resulting in	effective us	of instructional time	e	
O Clear standards of conduct and highly	effective and preventive m	nanagement	of student behavior		
O Safe and skillful organization of physi learning and to the use of resources, n	cal space, to the extent it is	s under the	control of the teacher	, that provides acces	sibility to
rearring and to the use of resources, if	iateriais, and technology.				
Sources of Evidence (Check all that appl	v and include dates, types/i	titles and n	ımher)		
☐ Classroom Observations	See Attachment 427 A		ual Technology		See Attachment 427 A
☐ Informal Observations/Visits	See Attachment 427 A		ources/Materials/T	echnology/Space	
☐ Teacher	See Attachment 427 A	_		cemology/Space	See Attachment 427 A
Conferences/Interviews	See Attachment 42/ A	☐ Oth	er		See Attachment 427 A
Assessment of Category II Factors (I	Discussion)				
t is the second of the second (1)iscussion)				
					
Category III: Instructional Delivery Te	eachers, through their kno	owledge of	content and their sk	ill in delivering inc	tmustics
stadents in leathing by using a variety of	instructional strategies.	Category I	II reviews. Commu	nications Ouaction	iruction, engage ing and Discussion
Techniques, Engaging Students in Learn	ing, Providing Feedback,	Demonstra	ting Flexibility and	Responsiveness.	mg and Discussion
Teacher's performance demonstrates:					
o Clear and appropriate communication of	6 mm 1 1 1 1 1 1				
11 1	of questioning and discussion	ity explanat	ions of the content		
 Highly effective use of different levels of High-level engagement of students in le 	or questioning and discussion	on strategie	that encourage most	t, if not all, students	to participate
o Equitable, accurate, and constructive fee	dhack to students on their	ing of instru	ction		
o Informed and appropriate use of formal	and informal assessments t	earning			
o High degree of flexibility and responsive	eness in meeting the learning	o meeds of	iing goais and to moi	nitor student learning	,
On and and responsive	these in meeting the learning	ig needs of	students.		
Sources of Evidence (Check all that apply a	and include dates, types/title	es, or numh	er)		
☐ Classroom Observations	See Attachment 427 A		Student Assignmen	t Worksheets	See Attachment 427 A
☐ Informal Observations/Visits	See Attachment 427 A		tudent Work		
☐ Assessment Materials	- · · ·		THE TO UK		See Attachment 427 A

- 2 -

Other

See Attachment 427 A

☐ Teacher Conferences/Interviews

Assessment of Category III Factors (Discussion)

Resources/Materials/Technology

See Attachment 427 A

Cate	Category IV: ProfessionalismProfessionalism is demonstrated through qualities that characterize a professional person in aspects						
occurring in and beyond the classroom/building. Category IV reviews: Maintaining Clear and Accurate Decords Communication with							
rami	Families and Students, Contributing to School and District, Developing Professionalism.						
Teacl	Teacher's performance demonstrates:						
0							
o Full knowledge of Professional Code of Conduct and full commitment to professional standards							
O 1	o Full and active compliance with school and district requirements for maintaining accurate and complete records and communicating with families regarding student needs/improvement						
o 1							
Sour	ces of Evidence (Check all that apply ar	nd include dates, types/title					
	Teacher Conferences/Interviews	See Attachment 427 A		Progress Reports/Report Cards	See Attachment 427 A		
	Observations/Visual Technology	See Attachment 427 A		Parent/School/Community Feedback	See Attachment 427 A		
	Artifacts/Interaction with Family	See Attachment 427 A		Artifacts: Professional	See Attachment 427 A		
	Student Records/Grade Book	See Attachment 427 A		Development/Act 48 Documentation Perceptive Use of	See Attachment 427 A		
				Teaching/Learning Reflections Other	See Attachment 427 A		
Asses	ssment of Category IV Factors (Di	iscussion)					
		·					
					1		

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania DEPARTM	MENT OF EDUCATION	333 Market St., Harrisburg, PA 17126-0333
	about of about mon	333 Market St., Harrisburg, FA 17120-0333
Teacher's Name	Social Social	w. Novel or
	Social Securit	y Number
I certify that the before named applicant for the periods SATISFACTORY	iod beginning and ending (month/day/year) UNSATISFAC	(month day year)
Signature of Principal/Assistant Principal (As	ssessor)	Date
Signature of District Superintendent of I. U. Executive Director	r	Date
Overall Justification for Assessment		
Commendations (optional)		

Name of Applicant

Signature of Applicant

Date

NOTE: Only page 4 is returned to the Pa. Dept. of Education as a part of the application for a Level II certificate.

PDE-427

CASD EVALUATION RUBRIC

Middle			Distinguished	knowledge. Teacher demonstrates superior content knowledge and integrates disciplines. Lesson Plans are available and consistent with curriculum and ent use of plans and evidence of forethought on future lesson plans. Lesson is flexible in relation to students' needs. Exemplary use of informal questioning and assessment. In-depth and thorough awareness of district resources.	Teacher-student interactions demonstrate warmth, caring and respect. Teacher exhibits high and realistic expectations for students. Classroom procedures and/or management are evident and consistently enforced. Classroom is safe and conducive to learning.	ppropriate Exemplary use of high-level questioning techniques. Teacher consistently demonstrates clear and effective communication skills. Lesson actively activities are cohesive and focused on the objectives. All students are actively engaged in a manner that supports individual differences and/or abilities. Student feedback is varied and ongoing throughout the lesson. Teacher demonstrates a willingness to respond to individual student needs.
First	-	School	Satisfactory	Teacher demonstrates solid content knowledge. Lesson Plans are available and consistent with curriculum and standards. Lesson is flexible in relation to students' needs. Consistent use of informal questioning and assessment. Thorough awareness of district resources.	Teacher-student interactions demonstrate warmth, caring and respect. Teacher exhibits reasonable expectations for students. Classroom procedures and/or management are consistently enforced. Classroom is safe and conducive to learning.	Consistent use of developmentally appropriate questioning. Teacher demonstrates acceptable communication skills. Lesson activities are focused on objectives. Students are actively engaged in the lesson. Student feedback is ongoing throughout the lesson. Teacher demonstrates a willingness to respond to individual student needs. Lesson objectives are observable.
	ea School District		Unsatisfactory	Teacher makes content errors or does not correct the errors made by students. Lesson plans are not available and/or not consistent with curriculum and standards. Lesson is inflexible in relation to students' needs. No evidence of verbal questioning and assessment. Little or not knowledge of district resources.	Teacher interaction with students is negative, demeaning, and/or inappropriate to the age or culture of the students. Classroom procedures and/or management are not evident. Classroom is unsafe and not conducive to learning.	Demonstrated use of inappropriate questioning. Teacher demonstrates substandard communication Lesson activities do not focus on objectives. Students are not engaged in the lesson and little or no feedback is apparent. Individual student's needs are not addressed. Lesson objectives are not stated.
Last Name	Chambersburg Area School District	District	Performance Level Domain	Preparation and Planning	Classroom Environment	Instruction

Professional Responsibilities	Teacher's performance demonstrates:	Teacher's performance demonstrates:	Teacher's performance demonstrates:
	Failure to adhere to district procedures and regulations related to attendance, punctuality, and the like. Lack of knowledge of the Professional Code of Conduct. Lack of compliance with school or district requirements for maintaining accurate records, communicating with families. Lack of compliance in participating in school and/or district events and school or district professional growth and development opportunities.	Adherence to school and district procedures and regulations related to attendance, punctuality and the like. Knowledge of the Professional Code of Conduct Compliance with school or district requirements for maintaining accurate records, communicating with families. Compliance with participating in school and/or district events and school or district professional growth and development opportunities.	Full adherence to school and district procedures and regulations related to attendance, punctuality, and the like. Full knowledge of Professional Code of Conduct and full commitment to professional standards. Full and active compliance with school and district requirements for maintaining accurate and complete records and communicating with families regarding student needs/improvement. Frequent participation in school and district professional development events/opportunities, consistent application of new learning in the classroom and sharing of learning with colleagues.
Narrative Justification:	tion:		
Rating: Temporary Professional Employee	ofessional Employee	Ratir	Rating: Professional Employee
Beginning (mont and ending (mont) a rating of	(month/day/year) has received (month/day/year)	Begin and 6 Seniority a rati	Beginning (month/day/year) and ending has received (month/day/year) a rating of
☐ SATISFACTORY	☐ UNSATISFACTORY	S	☐ SATISFACTORY ☐ UNSATISFACTORY
Date	Superintendent		Date Superintendent
I acknowledge that I My signature does no	I acknowledge that I have read and I have been given an opportunity to discuss it with My signature does not mean necessarily that I agree with the performance evaluation.	discuss it with the rater. Date	Signature of Employee
The employee will ha	The employee will have five (5) working days after receiving the Rating Fo	g Form to file an appeal.	

Appendix A

Chambersburg Area School District Differentiated Supervision Plan

Timeline 2008-2009

DATE	ACTIVITY	RESPONSIBILITY
August/September (no later than the 2 nd week of school)	Submit Selection form Appendix B (Page 25)	Staff/Administration
September/October (no later than the 2 nd week in October)	Submit and Approve Plans	Staff/Administration
August/September	Provide orientation to new staff regarding Differentiated Supervision Program	Building Administrator
November 1, 2008	Submit staff report with model selection and evaluation topic included to Assistant Superintendent, Superintendent, and Director of Curriculum & Instruction office	Administration: Assistant Superintendent Superintendent Director of Curriculum & Instruction
September – June	Complete required supervision & evaluation plan	Staff/Administration
May – June, 2008	End of Year Meeting(s) and complete evaluation form(s)	Staff/Administration

Appendix B

Chambersburg Area School District Supervisory Selection Form

Name:	
I am choosing to participate in the following type of professiona school year.	al development for the
Traditional	
Collaborative	
☐ Self-directed Development	
Employee Signature:	Date:
Supervisor Signature:	Date:
Building Principal Signature	Data